## P'cog & Phyto-II 20-21 Course Feedback Form

Program B Pharmacy Academic year 2020-2021

Year/Semester Third Year B pharmacy Sem V

Course title Pharmacognosy & Phytochemistry II (Theory).

Course Code BP5041

Faculty In Charge Mrs Charulata T Nemade

\* Indicates required question

Write your name here. \*

Pranjal Sanjay Chavan

Add individual feedback

### Google Forms









# SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Add individual feedback  2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?    1						
2 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 · 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?  1 2 3 4  Average		3	2	2	4	
2 How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?  1 2 3 4  Average	Average	0	O	0	•	Excellent
Average  Average	Add individual feet	ltieck				
Average		u rate the cours other than sylla	se on the scale 1 bus?	- 4 on the bas	s of the content	and '
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting  feaching Tools) and communicating quality?  1 2 3 4  Average		3	2	3	4	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of presenting  1	Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent
Average  Excellent  Excellent  Excellent  Average  Excellent  Excellent	dd individual feedb	rack				
Average	How would you reaching Tools) and	d communicati	ng quality?			ŧ
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of emples and concept building quality?  1 2 3 4  Average   Excellent		100	× ×	3	4	
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of emples and concept building quality?  1 2 3 4  Average   Excellent	Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent
How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of emples and concept building quality?  1 2 3 4  Average	d individual feedba	CH	53			
Average   1 2 3 4  Average   Excellent						
Average O O Excellent				4 on the basis	of variety of	•
Excellent		t building qual	****			
individual feedback				3	4	
	imples and concep		2			Excellent







# SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Average	0	0	0	•	0	Excellent
dd individual feed	thack					
		ything? *				
Would you like t		ything? *				





### HDT 20-21 Course Feedback Form Program B Pharmacy Academic year 2020 2021 Year/Semester Third year Bi pharmacy Sem VI Course title: Herbal Drug Technology (Theory) Course Code, BP6037 Faculty in Charge Dr. Charulata T. Nemade \* Indicates required question Write your name here. \* Thete Nikita Rajendra Add individual feedback 1. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives? Average Excellent Add individual feedback 2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and \* novelty discussed other than syllabus? Average Excellent Add individual feedback








How would you (Teaching Tools) a	u rate the cour nd communica	se on the sca	le 1 - 4 on the	basis of	presenting		
	1	2	3.		4		
Average					•	Excellent	
Add individual feed	tinck						
4 How would you examples and conc			le 1 - 4 on the	e basis of	variety of	+1	P
	1	2	3		4		
Average	0	0	C		•	Excellent	
Add individual feeds	back						
5. How would you			the scale 1 -	4? *			9"
	1	2	3	4	5		
Average	0	0	0	•	0	Excellent	
Add individual feedb	oack						
. Would you like to	suggest anyti	ning? *					8
No all good I							
kdd individual feedb	ack						
						Submitted 9/17/	21, 221 PI










### SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMAGY OUT NAMED A 422-101 JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

IQAC



#### SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA IAI

SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR,

### AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### **EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM**

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020 -2021

IQAC

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEMINAGAR

TOWASHIE

						at Dist.
1.	The information	displayed on you	ur examination	timetable is cle	ar and easy to i	inderstand
	Mways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
2.	Are the exam ve	enues easy to loc	ate?			
	Afways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
3.	Are University's	/Internal Exam R	ules are provide	ed/explained to	you?	
	Atways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
4.	What do you th	ink is the best fo	rmat for commi	unicating the Ex	am Rules to stu	idents?
	, Oral	Notice Board	email	Social N	Media	Any other
	When entering	exam venues, ar	e you clearly in	structed as to w	where to place y	our bag, mobile
	Atways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
6.	Arrangements	like sitting, drinki	ng water etc. a	re implemented	d effectively in e	xamination hall?
	AlWays	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
7.	The invigilators	s are quick to resp	oond when you	raise your hand	İ	
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
8.	Is the faculty g	ave Feedback on	your performar	nce after the Ex	aminations?	
	ATways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
9.	Overall, how d	o you rate your S	SDJ CoP examin	ation experien	ce?	
	Exellent	Very (	Good	Good	Satisfactory	Average
1	0. Suggestions if	any	J	release		
		Na	Tushar I	R - Chan	the state of the s	
	STA SURESHOA			ear B		1
	CHANGWAD	TE I	SEM -	II		0
	D PRI 42 7701	1:1				PRINCIPAL



## JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT /P. CHANDWAD TO 1

AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### **EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM**

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020 -2024

1.	The information	on displayed on you	ur examination	timetable is clea	r and easy to underst	and ( 223101
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	A CEL HAD
2.	Are the exam	venues easy to loca	ate?			
	Atways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
3.	Are University	s/Internal Exam R	ules are provid	ed/explained to	you?	
	Atways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
4.	What do you	think is the best fo	rmat for comm	unicating the Ex	am Rules to student	\$7
	Oral	Notice Board	email	Social N	ledia Any o	ther
	When enterin		e you clearly in	structed as to w	here to place your b	ag, mobile
	Afways	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
6.	Arrangements	s like sitting, drinki	ng water etc. a	re implemented	effectively in exam	ination hall?
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
7.	The invigilator	rs are quick to resp	oond when you	raise your hand	i	
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
8.	Is the faculty g	gave Feedback on	your performa	nce after the Ex	aminations?	
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely		
9.	Overall, how o	lo you rate your S	SDJ CoP exami	nation experier	ice?	
,	Excellent	Very (		Good	Satisfactory	Average
0.	Suggestions if	any	Second	orawan	gar Pand	i+
		Na Na	me & Signatu	ire of the Stud	ent	
	SURE	5/60/2	FY.	sem I	L	



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAWA
COLLEGE OF PRASUACY NEWWOODS

IOAC



### SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR,

AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### **EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM**

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020 -2021

1.	The informat	ion displayed on yo	ur examinatio	n timeta	ble is clear a	nd easy to u	nderstand
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes		Rarely	Never	(4)
2.	Are the exam	venues easy to loc	ate?				
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes		Rarely	Never	
3.	Are Universit	y's/Internal Exam R	ules are provid	ded/expl	lained to you	17	
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes		Rarely	Never	
4.	What do you	think is the best fo	rmat for comm	nunicatir	ng the Exam	Rules to stu	dents?
	Oral	Notice Board	email		Social Medi	ia A	any other
5.	When enterin	ng exam venues, ar					our bag, mobile
	one & belongi	100					and the state of t
	Atways	Mostly	Sometimes		Rarely	Never	
6.	Arrangement	s like sitting, drinki	ng water etc. a	re imple	emented effe	ectively in e	xamination hall?
	Always	Wostly	Sometimes		Rarely	Never	
7.	The invigilato	rs are quick to resp	ond when you	ı raise yo	our hand		
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes		Rarely	Never	
8.	Is the faculty	gave Feedback on	your performa	nce afte	r the Examir	nations?	
	Atways	Mostly	Sometimes		Rarely	Never	
9,	Overall, how	do you rate your SS	DJ CoP exami	nation e	xperience?		
	Excellent	Very G	ood	Good	Sat	isfactory	Average
10	Suggestions if	any					
, W.	adelestions ii		O	A			
			desti!	74			
					720		

Aher Peatik R.
Final ye Sem VII

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR 1000



## SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR,

AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101



### **EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM**

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2020 -2021

1.	The information	displayed on you	r examination t	imetable is clear a	nd easy to underst	and
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
2.	Are the exam v	enues easy to loca	ite?			
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
3.	Are University	s/Internal Exam R	ules are provide	ed/explained to yo	u?	
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
4.	What do you th	nink is the best fo	rmat for commo	unicating the Exam	Rules to student	s?
	Oral	Notice Board	email	Social Med		
	When entering		e you clearly ins	structed as to whe	re to place your b	ag, mobile
.50	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
6.	Arrangements	like sitting, drink	ng water etc. a	re implemented e	ffectively in exam	ination hall?
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
7.	The invigilator	s are quick to res	pond when you	raise your hand		
, -	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
8.	is the faculty g	ave Feedback on	your performa	nce after the Exa	minations?	
	Always	Mostly	Sometimes	Rarely	Never	
9.	Overall, how d	lo you rate your !	SSDJ CoP exami	nation experience	e?	
	Excellent		Good		Satisfactory	Average
10	. Suggestions if	any	Mol	affors		

Name & Signature of the Student

Bora megha sanjay final year sem vil

PRINCIPAL SALIRY SHRIMAN SURESHDAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NERBYLEGES

TO SECTION (NOT - 14)





IQAC

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### **EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM**

ACADEMIC YEAR: 20 00 -2001 1. The information displayed on your examination timetable is clear and easy to

	understand  Never Rarely Never
	Always Mostly Sometimes Indicate
2.	Are the exam venues easy to locate?
	Yes Sometimes Rarely Never
3.	Are University's Exam Rules are provided/explained to you?
4	Ves Sometimes Rarely Never
5.	What do you think is the best format for communicating the Exam Rules to students?
	Ocal / Notice Board Dedicated email Social Media
6	When entering exam venues, are you clearly instructed as to where to place your bag,
	coat and mobile phone?
	Always C Mostly Sometimes Rarely Never
-	Arrangements like sitting, drinking water etc. are implemented effectively in
/.	examination hall?
	Rarely Never
	Yes Sometimes Rarely The invigilators are quick to respond when you raise your hand
8.	NOVAL
	Vos Sometimes Karciy
9.	Is the faculty gave Feedback on your performance after the Examinations  Never
	Ves Sometimes Rai ciy
10	Overall, how do you rate your SSDJ examination experience?  Setisfactory Poor Very Poor
	Excellent Good Satisfactory
11	. Any suggestions to improve examination section performance
4.14	Language and Jacobs of SNOT SSD 13 Extension
10	re le no lesue.
INC	
	Oleman Company
	Student Name & Signature: Kirting Sandah Dime
II SUR	Year / Semester: W . S.Y. B. Pharm
	Semester:

PRINCIPAL SNUB'S SHRIMAN SURECHDARA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHILIPPACT NO MINASAF CHANOWAD MASHIN



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

## Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?	1			
2	Are the most recent books accessible?		1		
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?	سا	-		
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?	1	2		
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?	~			

Increase the time hour	
Name of Student: # Jadhay Punam	
Year/Semester: 2020 - 21 Sem VIII	
Course Name: firal year 3. Pharm	
Signature: Dathey	

\*\*\*\*\*\*



(a) Suggestions (if any)



PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



### SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Library Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Are the library access hours suitable?	V			
2	Are the most recent books accessible?		V		
3	Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?	V			
4	Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?	V			
5	Is the book issue facility available during examination?	V			

(a) Suggestions (if any)		
	11.4	
Name of Student: Wikita	Kothad	the state of the s
Year/Semester: 2020	-21 sem - VI	1
Course Name: Final	18. B. phormacy	<u> </u>
Signature: Pacatros	_	2.1 x 1/24
		D
-inex	舉徐雕瑜操宗章城康亦來	DDIVIO
IQAC Chindwid VI Chindwid Dist Figure	CHANDWAD E	PRINCIPAL SNJ8'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, MEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

## Computer Laboratory and Internet Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)


Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?				
2	How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?	<b>V</b>			
3	Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?		V		
4	Is there enough internet access available on campus?	V			
5	Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?				
6	Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?	V			
7	Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	V			

Name of Student: Nikity Kothows	No. of Column
Year/Semester: 2020 - 21 Sem	
Course Name: Final YE. B. pha	tomacy
Signature: Wocofferd	8 m 18 m



(b) Suggestions (if any)



PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAW

COLLEGE OF PRAFEIACY NEZINAGAR

CHAMDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

## Computer Laboratory and Internet Facility Feedback Form (B/M. Pharmacy Program)

Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Poor

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?				
2	How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?		<u></u>		
3	Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?		1_		
4	Is there enough internet access available on campus?	1			
5	Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?				
6	Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?		L	-	
7	Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	1_	-		

(b) Suggestions (if any)	
Improve Internet facility	
Name of Student: Jadhay Punam	
Year/Semester: 2020-21 Sem VIII	
Course Name: final year B. Than	
Signature: Madhal	A



PRINCIPAL

BAJE'S SEPERAN SURES HOADA JAIN

COLLEGE OF PRAFILACY MEDERAGAR

CHANDINAD (NASHIK).



### SNJB's

### SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

IQAC

423101

JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR,


AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Program Outcome Feedback Analysis

### Academic year 20-21

We have analyzed 40 program outcome feedback forms. The feedback given by students is shown below in graphical form. No weakness are received.





#### Action taken

Around 93 percent of students given excellent remark regarding program outcomes.

They have not mentioned any weakness.

Mrs. V.R.Daga Class Incharge

Dr. C.D.Upasani Principal



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHBADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMAD (WASSIER)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge	-			
2	Planning Abilities		4		
3 .	Problem Analysis		<u></u>		
4	Modern tool usage	~			
5	Leadership skills	~			
6	Professional Identity	レ			
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics		-		
8	Communication	~			
9	The Pharmacist and society	~			
10	Environment and Sustainability	~			
11	Life -long learning		~		

COLANONADO A SUR OS AND A SUR OS A SUR OS A SUR OS A SUR OS AND A SUR OS A SU

PRINCIPAL
SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACH, REMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

SEN FUILE



### 3. Any Suggestions to improve the overall teaching –learning process

Mentio	n any three best practices of the institution:
	vissin Frissin.
_	Mentor Maintaining.
111	
. Mentio	n any three weakness of the institution
1	
11	
Ш.	
Jame of S	Student: Proise por 13 havar aditi
ear:	2020-2021

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



SNJB'S SHRIMAN! COLLEGE OF PHA CHANBWAL .... -- GAR

NIA



## SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Academic Year: 2020 -21
Course Title: B. Pharman
Year: Final Year
Grade Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very good, 3: Good, 4: Fair

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge				
2	Planning Abilities		/		
3 •	Problem Analysis	/			
4	Modern tool usage		/		
5	Leadership skills				
6	Professional Identity		~	2	
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics				
8	Communication	/		D.	
9	The Pharmacist and society	/			
10	Environment and Sustainability		/		
11	Life -long learning				

1.	Were the objectives of the	Program met	Y	es/	To some extent/ No
2.	Please give overall rating of	of the Program ( $$	ANY	O	NE)
	90%-100% ( 🗸	70%-5	50%	(	)
	90%-70% (	) Below	50%	(	)



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIGIAN SURESHOADA JAM
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Any Suggestions to improve the overall teaching –learning process

No suggestions	
4. Mention any three best practices of the institution:	
1. Vision - mission during National	Anthem
III. Maintaing Mentor	
5. Mention any three weakness of the institution	
I	
11	
III	
Name of Student: Chordiya Riddhi	
Year: 2020-2	
Signature: Malhi	

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN CLEECHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAA
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2020-2021

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Course	Title: B-Pharmacy				
Year: _	Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very go	od, 3: (	 Good, 4	: Fair	
Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge	~			
2	Planning Abilities				
3 •	Problem Analysis				
4	Modern tool usage		-		
5	Leadership skills		~		
6	Professional Identity		0		
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics				
8	Communication	/		4	
9	The Pharmacist and society				
10	Environment and Sustainability	~	2		
11	Life -long learning				

1.	Were the objectives of the Program met	Yes/ To some extent/ No
	Tr. STATE	

2. Please give overall rating of the Program ( √ ANY ONE )

90%-100% ()	70%-50% (	)
90%-70% ( )	Below 50% (	



PRINTEIPAL
SNUB'S SHRIGAM SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARBACY, NEMBAGAR
CHARDAWAD (MASHIK)

4.	Mention any three	best practices of the institution:	
	1. Vision&	Mission during National	Arthen
	11. Menter	Maintaining	
	III	9	
5.	Mention any three	weakness of the institution	
	I.		

Name of Student: Hrithik Vaishnav

11.

Year: 2020-2021

Signature: .

III.

\*\*\*\*\*\*



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN. BADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARL ACY, NESHINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Academic Year	r: 2020-2\	
Course Title: _	B. pharmay	
Year: _ fino	1 year	A was to go early in
Grade Points:	1: Excellent, 2: Very good,	3: Good, 4: Fair

Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge	-			
2	Planning Abilities		/		
3 .	Problem Analysis		/		
4	Modern tool usage		/		
5	Leadership skills		/		
6	Professional Identity	10	/	8,	
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics		~		
8	Communication		~	0	
9	The Pharmacist and society		/	1 141	
10	Environment and Sustainability		/		
11	Life -long learning		/		and

1.	Were the objectives of the Program met	Yes/ To some extent/ No
2.	Please give overall rating of the Program ( $$	ANY ONE )

90%-100% ( )	70%-50% (	)
90%-70% ( )	Below 50% (	1



PRIMCIPAL. SNUB'S SHRIMAN CAFE EMPADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHASM ACTUAL MINISTERS AR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

4.	Mention any three best practices of the institution:	
	1. Vision mission during national anthon	· Ir
	11. maintaining mentor.	
	m	
5.	Mention any three weakness of the institution	
	I.	
	ł1	
	III.	
Na	ne of Student: Bora megha	ā

Year: 20 20-21

Signature: Broke

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



PRINCIPAL
SNUB'S SHRIMAN DURLEND
COLLEGE OF PHILADSCY, N
CHANDWAD (NASHI).



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2020-2021

### Program outcome Feedback Form (B.Pharmacy)

Grade I	Points: 1: Excellent, 2: Very go	od, 3: C	Good, 4	: Fair	
Sr. No	Question	1	2	3	4
1	Pharmacy Knowledge		~		
2	Planning Abilities	1			
3 •	Problem Analysis	-			
4	Modern tool usage				
5	Leadership skills		سسا		
6	Professional Identity		<u></u>	est .	
7	Pharmaceutical Ethics	~			
8	Communication	1			
9	The Pharmacist and society				
10	Environment and Sustainability				
11	Life -long learning	1			12

Please give overall rating of the Program ( √ ANY ONE )

90%-100% (~)

70%-50% (

90%-70% ( )

Below 50% (

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SCREET DADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, HEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (MASHIX)



### ny Suggestions to improve the overall teaching –learning process

		nission at Nouto Maintaining	ina a mile
1000		Mentarning	
		akness of the institution	
Ι	_		
		I.	
		ad poata visay	

\*\*\*\*\*\*

Signature: Duetact



PRINCIPAL
SNUB & SHRIMAN IN TELEBRATE THE
COLLEGE OF PRANCIACY MESS
CHANDWAD (MASHIK)





### SNJB's

## SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

## Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Engineering Academic Year: 2020-21

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

#### Dear Madam


Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Engineering course for the academic year 2020-21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Du	Parameter(s)	No. of R	esponse	(Total	43)
9		Excellent	Very good	Good	
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	72.1	25.6	2.3	Ta
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	67.4	30.2	2.3	45
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	81,4	16.3	2.3	1
4.	How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	79.1	16.3	4.7	-
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	76.7	18.6	4.7	
_	Total responses	376.7	107	16.3	
-	% Responses	75.34	21.4	3.26	







### SNJB's

## SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 5 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives?

43 responses

40

39

20

10

6 (0%)

1 (2,3%)

1 (2,3%)




PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.






PRINCIPAL

SNIE'S EHRIMAN EUPESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARE ACY, HEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD INASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHAHOWAD (MASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

20-21 Pharmaceutical Engin	cering Course feet	dback 🗀	rie .			Ð	0	0 5	ď	200	1	
			Duridon Fe	pomes O	Sammes							
	Antonimerronighte es	Selv.		-	200		-	-				
	Pharmac form	eutical	Engine	ering :Co	ourse fe	edbac	k					
	BP304T Faurracein Academic Your 2076	cal Ergmenting -21 Year Sem	ester fesconse	rat neces 10								
	nadares required o	o#skimi										
ALC: N	Enseit *	N.										
	mark sicasas / timelig-	set con.	7	10	1311	PH.						
		originates originates					e n					
	Name of Student *				A							
	Market Advances	5 700										
TO G	TOTAL PRINTED NAMES	Ciril Dictions	attroratives	- 10 cm	II farmera	tyrus x }	+ 100 2170Apr	Li Hergi	*70 .	(a) s	200	CON MICH
C & domining learn forms 2	(Links through an and the state of the state	Confidences	Adarramiyee		ANN DINIGON	i	+ 100 mg	e to	e e	(G) 91 (C) 9	0	DOWN NO.
C (#Shortprojecon/tome)()  • (* Von & Sovieti) in o	(Links through an and the state of the state	Confidences	Adarramiyee	entario en	ANN DINIGON	i · ·	+ 160 21704p	e to	co.	e e e	0	TOWN INCO
C I designaple on family  C No. a See will be a  20-21 Phermaticulical Engine	(Class thrip and a series of the series of t	Contractors C	2	ores O 1	etings	D.	P (	9 5	e e	ia si	1	DOM NO.
C I designaple on family  C No. a See will be a  20-21 Phermaticulical Engine	(Class thrus and and a class a	Contractors C	2	ores O 1	etings	D.	+ 100 2004 O	P 5	e e	(C) (A)		DOM NO.
C I designaple on family  C No. a See will be a  20-21 Phermaticulical Engine	(Class thrus and and a class a	Contractors C	2	ores O 1	etings	D.	D (	9 9	e e	(C) (S) (S)	1	
C I designaple on family  C No. a See will be a  20-21 Phermaticulical Engine	TO WAR AND STATE OF THE STATE OF ADDRESS AND STATE OF THE	Contractors C	2	ores O 1	etings	D ectives?	D (	9	40.	2 6 6	1	22 200
C III description family C to a Server to to co	TO WAR AND STATE OF THE STATE OF ADDRESS AND STATE OF THE	thack C) (	descriptions Response	Ones O	enings tuttament of at,	D)  Medives!*  Keetle	en en	Direction of the Control of the Cont	Ço.	10 S		
C III description family C to a Server to to co	TO WE WOULD SHARE THE STATE OF A	thack C) (	descriptions Response	Ones O	enings tuttament of at,	D)  Medives!*  Keetle	en en	9 5	e	(c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d	201	5.6
C III description family C to a Server to to co	TO WE WOULD SHARE THE STATE OF A	thack C) (	descriptions Response	Ones O	enings tuttament of at,	Es Excellent 1 **  Excellent 1	D (	D 5	40.	ia si	. 0	6 C
C III description family C to a Server to to co	TO WAR AND	thack C) (	descriptions Response	Ones O	enings tuttament of at,	D)  Medives!*  Keetle	D (	9 9	¢ .		1	




PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF 2013 - 2014 NEMINAGAR
COLLEGE OF 2013 - 2014 NEMINAGAR



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

20-21 Pharmaceutica	il Engineering Course feed	bock C 1		-	100	ລ	0	0	to.	d	E-W	1	
	250-000000		cations - herp		influence:								
**************************************	Coles	25.00			-112	and the same	-				glen.		
7.47	Mow would you rate communicating que		the orale 1 - 4 c	on the banks of	prosenting (Fes	iching Tools) i	rei	*					
		E 9	1	5									
	Average	0	0	O		Espetie	et.						
				150									
	How would you rate	the course on t	the scale 1 - 4 c	in the besid of	variety of exam	gies and some	wat.	8					
	bolding quality?	F R	PLU										
			e e	0.0		Thouse							
	Average	×.	0		•	Keteller	*						
1 g 101 - production in the	C W W	The building of the party of th	Trong to a comment	Charles -	The state of the s	CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND	-			-00.	515 EV	-	ä
C & descriptions of the C of the control of the con		Con COM WASH	SHATTLE LINE	Charles -	The state of the s	CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND	-		5	d d	2 : A	COLUM	ä
C & description of the C of the control of the cont	De despuis despuis de la company de la compa	izanimiek Dack E3 d	SHATTLE LINE		имусьзапон	CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND	-	•	5	d d	5 8 W	COLUM	ä
C & description of the C of the control of the cont	De despuis de produce de marchies de producero de les Cours (C. Augustuse	Charles Cl f	Salatrico (Anti-	**************************************	etings	о-10 го С	0		5	d d	0 0 0	COLUM	ä
C & description of the C of the control of the cont	I pro-resonated despressions of the Control of Assessment of the Control of Assessment of Engineering Course food  Flore would you care	Charles Cl f	Salatrico (Anti-	**************************************	etings	о-10 го С	0	<b>Q</b>	o	d d	2 : *	COLUM	ä
C & descriptions of the C of the control of the con	I pro-resonated despressions of the Control of Assessment of the Control of Assessment of Engineering Course food  Flore would you care	Charles Cl f	Salatrico (Anti-	**************************************	etings	о-10 го С	O apt	•	5	d d	2 2 3	COLUM	ä
C & description of the C of the control of the cont	I m. see Cata disensions in mare Tradegraphic Reverse in the Creat & American at Engineering Course feed How would you ren- builting Clarity?	Concis Co of Concis Con	orations been the scrain 1 - 4 c	onnet (Q) S on the base of	etings	E)	O apt	•	5	d d	2 2 3	COLUM	ä
C & description of the C of the control of the cont	In sential demolection from the Cost & American at Engineering Course food fiber would you residently? Average	Concis Co of Concis Con	orations been the scrain 1 - 4 c	onnet (Q) S on the base of	etings	E)	O apt	9	5	d d	2 2 1	COLUM	
C & description of the C of the control of the cont	In sential demolection from the Cost & American at Engineering Course food fiber would you residently? Average	Concis Co of Concis Con	orations been the scrain 1 - 4 c	onnet (Q) S on the base of	etings	E)	O spl	9	5	d d	2 * *	COLUM	ä
C & description of the C of the control of the cont	Pro-resolver and providence of the Court of Assertance of Engineering Course feed along model you resolve builting out by?  Average	the course on i	Western bear to de comment of the second of	onnet (Q) S on the base of	etings	E) plies and come	O spl	9	5	ese.		COLUM	ä







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 04/01/2021

423101

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2020-21

Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Engineering (BP304T)

Feedback given by students:43

Percent Feedback: 73

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	72.1	67.4	81.4	76.7
Very good	25.6	30.2	16.3	16.3
Good	2.3	2.3	4.7	4.7
Fair	-	-	# 1 TO	

#### Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

#### Remarks:

Improvement in

- 1. Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement,
- Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal
PRINCIPAL
SNIP'S CHRIMAN SUPESHDADA JAIN

COLLEGE DE CHEST LOY NEMINAGAR CHARLOWAD (MASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Academic Year: 2020-21

Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutics.

#### Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutics I course for the academic year 2020-21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)		No. of Responses (Total 35)			
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1,	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	77.8	22.2		-
2.	2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?		13.9	2.8	.=
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	88.9	11.1	-	
4.	4. How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?		8.3	2.8	
5.	How will you rate overall the course?	91.7	8.3	-	
	Total responses	430	63.8	5.6	Aries
	% Responses	86.12	12.76	1.12	

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.






PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SHIPESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE DE PORTE COLLEGE DE PORTE







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN STIFESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF FRANKACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANGWAD (NASHIK)

Dist Hashill 623101






Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Pharmacouties-1306	urse leedback 🗀	te .	1,54	T.E.		p (	2 0	2	d	<b>限三期</b>	1
		Q.	Nationa Respo	mm (D )	etteres.						
	Hiter would you rate I	the course on it	or strate 1 - 4 or	De tasa of	Liftment of on	artican'i r					
		371	1	1	N. S.	Test IV					
	Awage	o	0	0	•	Excellent	67			4	
	How would you race to their than dyllabus?	the law se on t	ter scale ( - 4 cr	the lasts of	THE COPPLETE AND	neetly decu	ned .*				
		H.W.		- 1		1200					ij
	Armage	0	0	0	•	Excellen	ile				
	5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -					1010					
· implementation of Party	How would you rare communicating that									R.C	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	(W) (X res(1)) Legander X (X/X/Indexportation (X/X/Indexportation	D SO TO SO T						er En		WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	W W X	D Princes	elephon (Caure)	- District	TENNY (SA)			er en Grecou	e de la companya de l	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	(W) (X res(1)) Legander X (X/X/Indexportation (X/X/Indexportation	0 × 1 × 10	eleides (Carro L'hjeropt (Moss evalues Soss	PROPERTY AND	er mander i Edec COCHILLOGRAPI VI Georgia	) o	entre de la constantia della constantia de la constantia de la constantia della constantia	er en	0	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	MON WOULT YOU CATE	0 × 1 × 10	eleides (Carro L'hjeropt (Moss evalues Soss	PROPERTY AND	er mander i Edec COCHILLOGRAPI VI Georgia	) o	entre de la constantia della constantia de la constantia de la constantia della constantia	er en Strou	2	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	MON WOULT YOU CATE	D on the course of the course on the course	eleides (Carro L'hjeropt (Moss evalues Soss	PROPERTY AND	er mander i Edec COCHILLOGRAPI VI Georgia	) o	er later latified O S	er en Srouu	e de la companya de l	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	WI Z-  CEPTATE CONTROL X  CEPTATE CONTROL  A Serial O Assessment  Ourse freezestack   Allow would you rate building outpy?	D State Company	existent Read Revision States Read Revision Read Revision Read Revision Read Revision Revision Read Revision Re	PROPERTY AND	Contings wanterly of exam	D some	er later latified O S	or En	· in the contract of the contr	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	WI Z-  CEPTATE CONTROL X  CEPTATE CONTROL  A Serial O Assessment  Ourse freezestack   Allow would you rate building outpy?	D Post Page With 60 Post Page Co.	existent flower  this scale 1 - 4 s	orecs O	Contings wanterly of exam	D some	er later latified O S	er En	e de	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	MON WOULT YOU THE BUILDING OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	D Post Page With 60 Post Page Co.	existent flower  this scale 1 - 4 s	orecs O	Contings wanterly of exam	D some	er later latified O S	or En	e e	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	MON WOULT YOU THE BUILDING OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	D Post Page With 60 Post Page Co.	existent flower  this scale 1 - 4 s	orecs O	Contings wanterly of exam	D some	o e	or en	e e	WALL AND DE	-
State of Travel 171      Mark of Travel 171	MULTING SECURITION OF SECURITIES OF SECURITION OF SECURITIES OF SECURITION OF SECURITI	D Post Page With 60 Post Page Co.	existent flower  this scale 1 - 4 s	orece ©	Contings wanterly of exam	D some	o e	or en	e e	WALL AND DE	-









Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Date: 09/01/2021

Name of Staff: Mrs. U. N. Dungarwal

Academic Year: 2020-21

Semester: 1

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutics I (BP103T)

Feedback given by students: 36

Percent Feedback: 60

Question	1	2	3	4
Excellent	77.8	83.3	88.9	91.7
Very good	22.2	13.9	11.1	8.3
Good	1 20	2.8	N. This Art C.	2.8
Fair	1/1 ##8 O	Sales II	DO OFF	

#### Questions:

- How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

#### Remarks:

#### Improvement in

- Expanding course content with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- 2. Implementation interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- Conducting regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality meets high standards.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SKERESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PURE AND MEHINAGAR

Challowed (MASHIK)





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



### Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III Academic Year: 2020-'21

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-III

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III course for the academic year 2020 - \*21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 41)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	28	13	00	00		
2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	25	16	00	00		
How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	30	10	01	00		
4. How will you rate the course on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	28	13	00	00		
5. How will you rate overall the course?	27	14	00	00		
Total responses	138	66	01	00		
% Responses	67.31	32,19	0.48	00		

Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.






Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.

POC 49 2000-21 Feedback forms (	1 6				D (	2 0	2	C 2	imi E	Ē
		Series Injeries (	<b>D</b> 60000	-						
	OF PHARMAC	MAN SURESHDA SYJAIN GURUKU WAD, Tal. Chand	JL, NEMINA	AGAR,		90409				
	B J U ⊕ ₹ Secretar PSOr Sounds	PDet Ser				8				
	Program E Prameri									
	A2850'0 T TABLE 2290-21									
		Statute State	a State	Selen						
VIII TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO				272						
								CITIE		
D the new leases	三十二年 1	6 9 10 A	9		table.	200				Mar
O transmission		C 6 6 A	9.		E III					NO.
O the territories		0 8 8 V	<u> </u>		SHIP?	10/				es.
O topo here is supro		0 5 30 V	9			196				and a
D Type New IC March	2 2 6 6	C 0 9 V		Mental Services		19				and the same
	200.50		94		Real		8030			0
**************************************	o al Mail Seekans have a figh		(A)			Div.				0.0
Photographic Constitution of C	a americana in 18 (4	e mojetorio e	<b>9</b>			Dio.		9. 4	0	10
They are applied that I are the second of th	orallist framework ()	e mojetorio e	Sh ==	200						10
Photographic Committee	orallist framework ()	e mojetorio e			0 0	0	5 6		0	10
* The company of the Control of the	orallist framework ()	e mojetorio e			D 0	0	5 6		0	100
* The company of the Control of the	o d'ille frequente : (4 YOuther State (2 van 3 4	in vising interview on			o a	0	5 6		0	10
They are applied that I are the second of th	namertuseus in	in vising interview on			00	0	5 6		0	100
* The company of the Control of the	o d'ille frequente : (4 YOuther State (2 van 3 4	in vising interview on			00	0 0 0	5 6		0	100
* Secretary and State of the St	o d'ille frequente : (4 YOuther State (2 van 3 4	in vising interview on			n 0		5 6		0	10
* Secretary and State of the St	o american in a (4)  Therefore 7 has 6 a  The devices 3 has 6 a	Clament Severage (2)			00	2	5 6		0	100
* Secretary and State of the St	The Market Hard State St	Clament Severage (2)			0 0	2) Tr			0	10
* D (2 designation of 2	The Chines of the Chinese of the Chi	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			0 0	2) 11 13 13 14	5 6		0	10
* D (2 designation of 2	The Market His State of the Control	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			0 0	2) Tr	5 0		0	100
* D (2 designation of 2	The Chines of the Chinese of the Chi	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			D 6	2) 11 13 13 14	5 6		0	100
H day company (100 law) (F   0 000	The Market His State of the Control	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			a 0	2) 11 13 13 14	5 0		0	10
H day company (100 law) (F   0 000	The Market His State of the Control	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			00	2) 11 13 13 14	5 0		0	100
H day company (100 law) (F   0 000	The Sarrana Start	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			0 0	2) 11 13 13 14			0	10
H day company (100 law) (F   0 000	The Service of the Se	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			0 0	2) 11 13 13 14			0	10
H share company (100 lines) F   0 000	The Service Code of the Code o	Comment Commen			a 0	2) 11 13 13 14			0	10
H day company (100 law) (F   0 000	The Service Control of	in 1930 and Consecution (Common Secures Common Secu			0 0	2) 11 13 13 14			0	10
* D (2 designation of 2	The Service Code of the Code o	Comment Commen			a 0	2) 11 13 13 14	5 0		0	10





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.








IQAC

Dist. Nauhill

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.





SURESHIP


SPANISH SURESHIP


FOR SURESHIP

FO

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.










Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.






PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHASIJACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

IQAC

Pal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 28/05/2021

### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Academic Year: 2020-'21 Semester: IV

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-III (BP401T)

Feedback given by students: 37 Percent Feedback: 62

Question	1	2	- 3	4	5
Excellent	28	25	30	28	27
Very good	13	16	10	13	14
Good	00	00	01	00	00
Fair	00	00	00	00	.00

#### **Ouestions:**

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

#### Remarks:

Though course feedback is satisfactory, in coming academic

- 1. Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- Will implement interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- 3. Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



### Feedback Analysis Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II Academic Year: 2020-21

Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Assistant Professor

Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry-II

Dear Sir.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry-II course for the academic year 2020 - '21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of F	Response	s (Total .	37)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
	will you rate the course on the basis of liment of objectives?	24	12	01	00
	will you rate the course on the basis of content novelty discussed other than syllabus?	29	08	00	00
	will you rate the course on the basis of enting tools and communicating quality?	26	11	00	00
	will you rate the course on the basis of variety amples and concept building quality?	29	07	01	00
5. How	will you rate overall the course?	27	10	00	00
	Total responses	135	48	02	00
	% Responses	72.97	25.94	1.09	0.00

Congratulations! You have received overall excellent comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.





Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again, we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal






ACYA Chandwad Dist. Nashin 423701

SURES

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.








Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.







Description of the	Assertions of the selection of the three parties of the first three of the first terms.				A . O	D 1
100	where 5 that to believe 5 that \$ the \$ throughout	The state of the s				Bathe
- 30-				000	E117500	
POC-II 2020-21	Feedback form 🗀 🏠	143	0 0	9 6	Chatte	
	Would you like to suggest anything?  TO Histories	Testings				
		Sale				
Mart T.	Village Company	1200				
The state of						
	No suppressors					
	Great Handley	68 SM35				
	Names					
		0.00				
+ 0 (5 House	ie p new with the continue of the continue with the continue of the continue o	DER ASSESSMENT OF STREET				- 0
th tree of people of the tree	e pi Omenomeno e (Open) sumbisible e de	DER ASSESSMENT OF STREET	(Selling		(2) (N)	- 0 D 1
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	ie p new with the continue of the continue with the continue of the continue o	DER ASSESSMENT OF STREET	0 0	) b c		
My tend (perfunya) (1) + O (1) tendengan	e. p. Omenustraes v Opph) murable bl. a pare menustrae po una oral universor construction contraction is an pare of the open of the	a	0 0	5 6		
to track perforage of the track	C. P. C. M.	a	0			
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	Confident Feagures ©	a	0 6			
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	Consider Statement Constitution	a	5			
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	Consider Segment Company of the Constitution o	a	0			
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	Consider Services Constitution	a	0			
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	Considers Federal September Company of Considers Federal Company of Company of Considers Federal Considers Federal Company of Considers Federal Considers F	a	0 0			
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	Consider Superations  Consider Superation  Consider Superation  Consider Superation  Consider Superation  Consider Superation  Framework Superation  Frame	a	0			
r tradición payant + D D to tocara	Consider Secretarian com  Consider Secretaria Secretari	a	5			



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHILL AGAR
COLLEGE OF HARMACY, L. AGAR






	POC-II 2070-21 Feedback form 🗅 🖒 Anti-per met Spegmonent.	+	3
	Occurios Engenies (C) Definite		
	Secretaria de estas.		
	SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik- 423 101		
J/re	Secured Year Channel Fernillació Form		
	The Art was a real participant of the Section 1869, and all the section 1869, and the se		
	Program B Pharmacy		
	2 And Markus weaks case in the 1995		•
	FOC-II 2020-21 Feedback form (2) & superprisenses Types (accepted).  Outside a Fragman (2) Superprise	g if	•
	TO DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY		
	Acostrate Year		1
	Vest /Seriestier 2010-717 (Livin)		
	The state of the s		
ridle	Course Tike Promagnatical Organic Chemistry 6		
WH.	The first is sample to real the second secon	Marie .	
	FOC-8 2020-21 Feedback form CI & Attacem secretary D O O 5 c	0 18	è
	Quintilates Bosponies (3) Settings		
No.	The state of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the sectio	FW	
	Course Code BP3CTT		- 4
	Cardidale Norw		1
824.1	All the second control of the second	000	(0)
	Now would you rate the counterors the coule? - 4 on the books of fulfament of objectives?		
	0.	1	Cha
		The same	
			0





The state of the s			
POC # 2020-211-	ensoback form 🖸 🌣 🕬 🕬	00000	
	Continue Angenes O	Seeings	
	Mark War Statement Service	- Village /	
	1. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the	basis of fulfilment of objections?	
			2013
	97		
	0.7		
	<b>⊙</b> •		
	2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the	Paris of the prostent and woods.	
	discussed when two sylabus?	Economic Strategy and Scotolic	
			0
Danies Card	69 BBBBBV	Quint is historical interior	0 4 5 E E
+ + D is processors	TO DESCRIPTION TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	#YY###################################	( · · · · ·
t + 0 % amagingto Disconnection in Engineer	antimortoma eticopolismontosytemiciscolymia altre 3 feel <b>8</b> feel <b>9</b> fee <b>9</b> executas	#YY###################################	Children Children Children
t to the state of	emplements of the Constitution of the Constitution		
t to the state of	antimortoma eticopolismontosytemiciscolymia altre 3 feel <b>8</b> feel <b>9</b> fee <b>9</b> executas		
+ 0 % employed	emplements of the Constitution of the Constitution		
+ D S prograph	emplements of the Constitution of the Constitution	DODSC	
+ D S prograph	Freedback form (2) & elementary and Empires (2)  Alter the State of the Contract of the Contra	DODSC	
+ 0 % prospects	Freedback form [] & elementary and Empires ()  2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the discussed other trace systems?	DODSC	
+ 0 % employed	Freedback form [] & elementary and Empires ()  2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the discussed other trace systems?	DODSC	
+ 0 % employed	Freedback form [] & elementary and Empires ()  2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the discussed other trace systems?	DODSC	
+ 0 % employed	### Since Deliver 9 to Description  Freedback form (**) & elementary state of the Committee Comm	DODSC	
t to the state of	### Since Deliver 9 to Description  Freedback form (**) & elementary state of the Committee Comm	DODSC	
t + 0 % amagingto Disconnection in Engineer	### Since Deliver 9 to Description  Freedback form (**) & elementary state of the Committee Comm	Source Committee Committee Committee	






i constant and a second			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	STATE OF THE PARTY	Mary Comp. Na.
	A 1 D FOCA 2029 20 Feel Park Street Barrier B.			3 100	10000	- : 0 ×
+ + 0 transpega	see thereof the Production, in the	00-1-1-201-4-5-11116-6-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	SALES TO SUPPLY STORE ST	CHICANGE	Heat # D	0 1 1
Witnesselve Avenue	has private to the following the first	2 Strating				CS #Ridered
Charles and the same of the sa	entroccupations and a large		0 0	0 5	e House	
POC-# 2070-217	enduckform 🗆 🗈 👓	Trying teature 4.			4	
		Chartiern Regeneral (C)	Salt rept			
	2 How works sounds the c	overse on the scale 1 -4 on the I	non of presenting (Text to	vs foots; and		
	communicating quality?		Commence of the Commence of th	DASSES.		
8 10 27 11	DULLING DE					
	0.1	David Tox				
	O 2					
	0.1					
	● 4					
	10 to 1 to 100 t					- 3
	4. How would you rate the s	curse on the scale 1 - 4 on the b	caus of variety of examples	and concept		
	hulleing trustay?					
200	01					
	THE STATE OF THE S	Parling to the	Section 1		- 5 0 ct w m	
D Type from to starth		O O O V C	SALE LEGISLA	GOTHER OF THE		3.3
de l'annocé de la destroite	eerigenstrationeleateletspattin One 15 Onet 10 Yesten 19 Her 1	S Abin bankal [1] [2]			C See	Datases
POC-II 2020-21 F	eedback from 🗀 🕏 🙉	Trying to numeral .	19.59		Westers	
		Questions Enqueses (D	Settings			
page of the page of the	Sent of the same					
	& More were left often scalar filter s.	muse on the scale 1 - 4 on the b	evirgence to covery of managers	and concept		
	building quality*		2017 - 27 - 11			
	2 - 1					
120	O V		100			
	0.1					
THE NEW PROPERTY.	O F					
	@ (					
					WILL P	
	EDITOR OF THE			STORY OF THE PARTY.		
William The	1 How would you overall no	te the course on the scale 1 - 42				
Hy I'm	70.6		A CONTRACTOR	100	HO ALL	
The JD Separates to season	SO BE F	6 0 0 A 6		HEALTH ISSUE	- 0 G co - me	








Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Google Forms





PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHEMMAN STRESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF THE ACY, HERMITAGAR
COLLEGE OF THE ACY, HERMITAGAR



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacology-1 course for the academic year 2020-2021 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No.	of Responses	(Total 5	2)
	Excell ent	Very good	Good	Fair
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	04	11	29	8
The course contents met with your expectations	06	10	31	5
The lecture sequence was well planned	07	31	12	2
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	07	12	29	4
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	08	30	14	0
Will you recommend this course in your program	16	22	12	2
Total responses	52			
% Responses	81.35 %			

#### THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total 5	2)
	Excellent	Very	Good	Fair
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	8	16	25	3
The teaching aids were effectively used	7	17	23	5
The course material handed out was adequate	25	7	16	4
Were the objectives of the course met	14	11	24	3
Please give overall rating of the course	7	19	23	3
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	14	14	22	2
Total responses	52			
% Responses	81.35 %			

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal

PRINCIPAL





Dist. Machill

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

#### Feedback Analysis

Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare Assistant Professor Computer Lab Incharge

Dear Sir,

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Computer and internet Facility Feedback for the academic year 2020-2021 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 3. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. o	Respons	es (Tota	1262)
	Exce llent	Very	Good	Fair
How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?	13	65	136	48
How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?	45	104	96	21
Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?	86	83	73	20
Is there enough internet access available on campus?	44	53	146	19
Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?	27	78	142	15
Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?	02	97	126	37
Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?	48	95	68	51
Total responses	262			100
% Responses	81.41	%	100	West

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors. With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Mr. C. D. Upasani






IQAC

423101

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



#### Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

#### Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Human Anatomy and Physiology-I course for the academic year 2020-2021 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks. The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 52)					
	Excell	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	04	11	29	8		
The course contents met with your expectations	06	10	31	5		
The lecture sequence was well planned	07	31	12	2		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	07	12	29	4		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	08	30	14	0		
Will you recommend this course in your program	16	22	12	2		
Total responses	52	1100 25				
% Responses	81.35 %					

#### THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 52)						
	Excellent	Very	Good	Fair			
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	8	16	25	3			
The teaching aids were effectively used	7	17	23	5			
The course material handed out was adequate	25	7	16	4			
Were the objectives of the course met	14	11	24	3			
Please give overall rating of the course	7	19	23	3			
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	14	14	22	2			
Total responses	52						
% Responses	81.35 %			-			

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani Principal: IPAL






IQAC

623101

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



Mr. Rakesh A. Khandare

Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology

Dear Sir

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Human Anatomy and Physiology-I course for the academic year 2021-2022 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 51 )					
	Excell ent	Very good	Good	Fair		
Were the objectives of the course clear to you	10	04	29	8		
The course contents met with your expectations	09	06	31	5		
The lecture sequence was well planned	30	07	12	2		
The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies	11	07	29	4		
The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices	29	08	14	0		
Will you recommend this course in your program	21	16	12	2		
Total responses	51					
% Responses	80.29 %					

#### THE CONDUCT OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 51)					
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	8	24	16	3		
The teaching aids were effectively used	7	22	17	5		
The course material handed out was adequate	25	15	7	4		
Were the objectives of the course met	14	23	11	3		
Please give overall rating of the course	7	22	19	3		
The lecturers were clear and easy to understand	14	21	14	2		
Total responses	51					
% Responses	80.29 %		15			

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions. With Regards.

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani



### Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I Academic Year: 2020-'21

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

#### Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I course for the academic year 2020-'21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total 3	9)
	50.00	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	28	10	I.	0
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	25	14	0	0
3.	How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	27	11	1	0
4.	How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	33	6	0	0
5.	How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?	26	8	5	0
	Total responses	139	49	7	0
	% Responses	42.12%	14.84%	2.12%	0%

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.





CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely




Dr. C. D. Upasani
PRINCIPAL
SNJEGBRIDSEN SUBESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF FHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)


Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





)	Pharmacagnosy & phyto-I 🗀 👙		ຄ	0	0	Þ	c	Send	1	Ş
	Questions	Netpontes	0	Settings				Drammer.		
	Write your name here									
	59 responses									
	Wohal Sand Bagrecha									
	Tejal Bahur Mahale									
	Pruther's patd									
	Jadhay Shuthang: Jagannath									
	Jachne Gestanjali Kurutti									
	Patil Prerana Shushan									
	Jain Hayan Franka;									
	Neha Bhalgat							1		
	Payal Mahendra Gangwal							3		












3











PRINCIPAL
SNUS'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAM
COLLEGE OF PHASEJACY, NEWHAGAN
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

6. Would you like to suggest anything?
42 responses
Nothing
No suggestions
Teaching is very good easy to understand
A
<u>₹</u>
Good teaching
Nope
Nothing





### Feedback Analysis Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II Academic Year: 2020-'21

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Associate Professor, HOD

Department of Pharmacognosy

#### Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-II course for the academic year 2020-'21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of Responses (Total 50					
AND	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair		
<ol> <li>How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?</li> </ol>	32	18	0	0		
2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	30	18	2	0		
3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?	28	12	10	0		
4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	28	18	2	0		
<ol> <li>How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 - 4?</li> </ol>	22	20	8	0		
Total responses	140	86	22	00		
% Responses	41.17%	25.29%	6.47%	0%		

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued





support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors,

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principhn CIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PRAGMACY, NEMINAGAR

Note A Refer βigstiff by course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.







Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

## P'cog & Phyto-II 20-21 Course Feedback Form

Program B. Pharmacy Academic year, 2020-2021

Year/Semester: Third Year B. pharmacy Sem V

Course title Pharmacognosy & Phytochemistry-II (Theory)

Course Code: BP504T

Faculty in Charge: Mrs. Charulata T. Nemade

Indicates required question

Write your name here. \*

Pranjal Sanjay Chavan

Add individual feedback

Google Forms





COLLEGE OF PHECHACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



Average		- 60.0	•	0		
	0	0		1000		
	1	2	а	4		
<ol> <li>How would you examples and conc</li> </ol>	rate the cours ept building qu	e on the scale ality?	1 - 4 on the bas	is of variety of	•	
Add individual feedt	pack	Si			Sandill.	
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent	
	1	2	3	4		
<ol> <li>How would you</li> <li>(Teaching Tools) an</li> </ol>	rate the course d communicati	on the scale t ng quality?	1 - 4 on the basi	s of presenting	<b>9</b>	
Add Individual feedb	ack					
Average	0	0	•	0	Excellent	
	3	2	3	4		
. How would you r ovelty discussed of	her than syllabi	on the scale 1	- 4 on the basis	of the content	ditu.	
. How would you		the seek 1	d on the besie	of the content	and *	
- u marenaga 163,450	5.00					
dd individual feedba	ch					
Average	O	0	0	•	Excellent	
9		2	3	4		
ectives?						







5 How would yo	u overall rate	the course o	on the scale	437		
	1	3)	3	Ā	9	
Average	(3)	60		•	0	Excellent
Add individual tent	Stark					
. Would you like t	o suggest an	ything? *				
	to suggest an	ything? •				
6. Would you like t No Add individual tree		ything? •				





## Feedback Analysis Herbal Drug Technology Academic Year: 2020-'21

Dr. Charulata T. Nemade Associate Professor, HOD Department of Pharmacognosy

#### Dear Madam

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Herbal Drug Technology course for the academic year 2020-'21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 1. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

	Parameter(s)	No. of	Response	s (Total 4	(5)
		Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
1.	How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?	29	13	2	1
2.	How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?	24	19	2	0
3.	presenting tools and communicating quality?	27	14	4	0
4.	How would you rate the course on the scale 1  - 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?	27	17	0	, i
5,		22	16	4	3
	Total responses	129	79	12	05
_	% Responses	37.94%	23.23%	3.52%	1.47%

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued





support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors.

With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani PAL
PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
COLLEGE OF PAGE 11 CYNEIMHAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIN)
Pafer 1986 3 of cour

Note: Refer page 3 of course feedback for detailed responses of each parameter.





## HDT 20-21 Course Feedback Form Program B. Pharmacy Academic year, 2020-2021 Year/Semester Third year B. pharmacy Sem VI Course title: Herbal Drug Technology (Theory) Course Code: BP603T Faculty In Charge Dr. Charulata T. Nemade \* Indicates required question Write your name here. \* Thete Nikita Rajendra Add individual feedback 1. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of fulfilment of objectives? Excellent Average Add individual feedback 2. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 - 4 on the basis of the content and novelty discussed other than syllabus? Average Excellent Add individual feedback






PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARIDACY, NEIMAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)


How would you			to 1 Anni	the basis C	of presenting	991	
How would you	rate the cour	se an the sc	ale reacons	1114-11-1			
Teaching Tools) an	id communica	ting quality					
				5	#		
	7	2					
		1,075	7	0	•	Excellent	
Average	(0)	0	3	-			
kad individual feed	back						
. How would you	u rate the cou	rse on the so	ale 1 - 4 on 1	the basis o	of variety of		9
<ol> <li>How would you examples and cond</li> </ol>	cont building o	mality?	70.20				
examples and cont	epi bullong q	(constraint)					
	3	2		3	4		
	(8	1570			322		
	-	0		9	•	Excellent	
	1.6 (1.2)						
Average	52	0					
Average Add Individual feed	back						
V0.556797000	back						
Add Individual feed							
Add Individual feed			the scale 1	1 - 4? *			
Add Individual feed			the scale 1	- 4? *			9
Add Individual feed		the course o	the scale 1	4?*	5		0
Add Individual feed	u overall rate t			4	5		0
Add Individual feed	u overall rate t	the course o			5	Excellent	0
Add Individual feed	u overall rate t	the course of	3	4	<b>5</b>	Excellent	0
Add Individual feed  How would yo  Average	u overall rate t	the course of	3	4	5	Excellent	0
Add Individual feed  How would yo  Average	u overall rate t	the course of	3	4	5	Excellent	0
Add Individual feed  Now would you  Average	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	0
Add Individual feed  Now would you  Average	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	
Add Individual feed  Now would you  Average	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	0
Add individual feed  5. How would yo  Average  Add individual feed  6. Would you like to	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	
Add individual feed  5. How would you  Average  Add individual feed  6. Would you like to	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	
Add Individual feed  5. How would you  Average  Add individual feed  6. Would you like to	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	
Add Individual feed  5. How would you  Average  Add individual feed  6. Would you like to	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	
Add individual feed  5. How would yo  Average	u overall rate t	the course of	0	4 <b>⊚</b>	5	Excellent	






PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SKRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)













#### SNIB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY IAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR. AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Ref: SSDJCoP/2020-21/02/1

Date: 22/05/2021

IOAD

## EXAMINATION FEEDBACK ANALYSIS 2020-21

To Mr. M. S. Mahajan College Examination officer SNJB's SSDJ College of Pharmacy, Chandwad

The student feedback (302) for the 2020-21 academic year has been reviewed, highlighting the following insights:

- 1. Timetable Clarity:
  - The majority of students found the exam timetable easy to follow: 276, 91.46%.
- 2. Venue Accessibility:
  - Students generally reported no issues in locating exam venues: 267, 88.32%.
- 3. Exam Rules Communication:
  - Most students understood the rules well, favoring digital communication methods like email and social media (WhatsApp Groups for respective classes): 272, 90.18%.
- 4. On-Site Instructions:
  - Clear guidance was provided on where to place personal belongings in the exam halls. 288, 95.26%.
- 5. Hall Arrangements:
  - o The seating and facilities were effectively managed, with positive feedback from students: 276, 91.46%.
- 6. Invigilator Support:
  - Invigilators were prompt and attentive to student needs. 253, 83.45%.
- 7. Post-Exam Feedback:
  - Feedback on performance varied, with few students desiring more consistent communication; 267, 88.32%.
- 8. Overall Experience:
  - o. The examination experience was rated highly, with most students marking it as "Excellent" or "Very Good." 288, 95.26%.

This feedback will help guide further enhancements to our examination processes, ensuring we continue to meet student expectations.

Warm regards,

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal.

Shriman Sureshdada Jain College of Pharmacy, Chandwad

CHANDWAD DIST. NASHIK PIN-423101

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR

CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



## SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

IQAC

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

## Feedback Analysis

Dr. Aman B. Upaganlawar Associate Professor Library Incharge

Dear Sir.

Your contributions to our institute's academic endeavors are greatly valued. The feedback on the Library Facility Feedback for the academic year 2020-21 has been thoroughly assessed, offering valuable insights for refining teaching approaches. This analysis aids in optimizing teaching strategies and preparing for future instructional tasks.

The responses obtained for various parameters used in feedback are as follows

#### 3. THE DESIGN OF COURSE

Parameter(s)	No. of	Responses	(Total 2	68)
	Excellent	Very good	Good	Fair
Are the library access hours suitable?	24	166	64	14
Are the most recent books accessible?	112	131	25	17
Are there a sufficient number of book copies available for borrowing?	81	90	79	18
Are the numbers of books issued per student adequate?	54	133	67	14
Is the book issue facility available during examination?	144	84	27	13
Total responses	268			
% Responses	81.65 %			

Congratulations! You have received overall very good comments, with some parameters marked as very good. Let's discuss further if needed with undersigned.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Submit your proposed corrective actions within two weeks to enhance the undersigned's feedback. Once again we sincerely appreciate your continued support for the institute's progress and thank you for your contributions to its academic endeavors. With Regards,

Yours sincerely

Dr. C. D. Upasani

Principal PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR Chambwad (NASHIK)





## SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMA JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



### Facility Feedback Action Taken (Computer and Internet)

Name of Staff: Mr. R. A. Khandare

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Facility: Computer Lab and internet facility

Feedback given by students: 262

Percent Feedback:81.41 %

3. The Design of Facility

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Excellent	13	45	86	44	27	02	48
Very good	65	104	83	53	78	97	95
Good	136	96	73	146	142	126	68
Fair	48	21	20	19	15	37	51

#### Questions:

- 1 How would you rate the availability of computers in the library for your use?
- 2 How would you assess the quality of the hardware, such as desktop computers and printers?
- 3 Do the projectors in the classroom function properly?
- 4 Is there enough internet access available on campus?
- 5 Do the hours for accessing the computer lab suit the needs of users?
- 6 Is the Wi-Fi connectivity functioning properly across the college campus?
- 7 Are you satisfied with the range of software and resources available on the computers in the library?

Congratulations! You have received overall good comments, with some parameters marked as We are in process of increase in bandwidth so that seamless connectivity will be offered to students.

Remark: Computer laboratory is in process of installation of new antivirus software for smooth functioning of computers.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal PRINCIPAL

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)





## SNJB's

## SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY

OURESI

423101


JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR,

AT/P. CHANDWAD, Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

### Program Outcome Feedback Analysis

## Academic year 20-21

We have analyzed 40 program outcome feedback forms. The feedback given by students is shown below in graphical form. No weakness are received.



#### Action taken

Around 93 percent of students given excellent remark regarding program outcomes.

They have not mentioned any weakness.

Mrs. VR.Daga Class Incharge

Dr. C.D.Upasani Principal



PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHOADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY MERINAGAR
CHANOWAD (MASSIR)



## SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Rakesh A. Khandare

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Course and Course Code: BP404T/P PCol-I

Feedback given by students:

Semester: IV

Percent Feedback:81.35

The Design of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	4	06	07	07	08	16
Very good	11	10	31	12	30	22
Good	29	31	12	29	14	12
Fair	8	5	02	04	00	2

Questions:

- Were the objectives of the course clear to you
- The course contents met with your expectations
- The lecture sequence was well planned
- The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
- The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
- Will you recommend this course in your program

#### The Conduct of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	08	07	25	14	7	14
Very good	16	17	07	11	19	14
Good	25	23	16	24	23	22
Fair	03	5	04	3	3	2

#### Questions:

- The lecturers were clear and easy to understand
- The teaching aids were effectively used
- The course material handed out was adequate
- Were the objectives of the course met
- Please give overall rating of the course
- The lecturers were clear and easy to understand

Remarks: Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

DIST. NASHIK PIN-423101

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, MEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



## SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.



#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Rakesh A. Khandare

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Course and Course Code: BP101T/P HAP-I

Feedback given by students:

The Design of Course

Semester: I

Percent Feedback:81.35

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	4	06	07	07	08	16
Very good	11	10	31	12	30	22
Good	29	31	12	29	14	12
Fair	8	5	02	04	00	2

Questions:

- Were the objectives of the course clear to you
- The course contents met with your expectations
- The lecture sequence was well planned
- The contents were illustrated with examples or case studies
- The course exposed you to new knowledge and practices
- Will you recommend this course in your program

#### The Conduct of Course

Question	1	2	3	4	5	6
Excellent	08	07	25	14	7	14
Very good	16	17	07	11	19	14
Very good Good	25	23	16	24	23	22
Fair	03	5	04	3	3	2

#### Questions:

- The lecturers were clear and easy to understand
- The teaching aids were effectively used
- The course material handed out was adequate
- Were the objectives of the course met
- Please give overall rating of the course
- The lecturers were clear and easy to understand

Remarks: Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



## SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMAC JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 28/11/2020

#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Sandip N. Wagh

Academic Year: 2020-21 Semester: III

Course and Course Code: Pharmaceutical Chemistry-II (BP301T)

Feedback given by students: 37 Percent Feedback: 62

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	24	29	26	29	27
Very good	12	08	11	07	10
Good	01	00	00	00	01
Fair	00	00	00	00	00

#### Questions:

- How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How will you rate overall the course?

#### Remarks:

Though course feedback is satisfactory, in coming academic

- 1. Course content will be expanded with additional cutting-edge topics suggested by students.
- Will implement interactive tools for enhanced learning engagement.
- 3. Will conduct regular assessments to ensure content and presentation quality.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL

SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARDACY, NEMINAGAR CHANDWAD (NASHIK)

CHANDWAD SIST NASHIK



## SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 25/09/2021

#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2020-'21 Semester: IV

Course and Course Code: Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry-I (BP405T)

Feedback given by students: 39/66

Percent Feedback: 99.09%

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	28	25	27	33	26
Very good	10	14	11	6	8
Good	1	0	1	0	5
Fair	0	0	0	0	0

#### Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- 5. How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 4?

#### Remarks:

All the students are well satisfied with my teaching skills. I will try my best to upgrade the student's knowledge.

GAC

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHANIMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANOWAD (NASHIK)



# SNJB's SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN COLLEGE OF PHARMACY JAIN GURUKUL, NEMINAGAR, AT/P. CHANDWAD,

Tal. Chandwad, Dist. Nashik-423 101.

Date: 22/09/2021

#### Course Feedback Action Taken

Name of Staff: Dr. Charulata T. Nemade

Academic Year: 2020-21

Semester: VI

Course and Course Code: Herbal Drug Technology (BP603T)

Feedback given by students: 45/68

Percent Feedback: 66.17%

Question	1	2	3	4	5
Excellent	29	24	27	27	22
Very good	13	19	14	17	16
Good	2	2	4	0	4
Fair	1	0	0	1	3

#### Questions:

- 1. How will you rate the course on the basis of fulfillment of objectives?
- 2. How will you rate the course on the basis of content and novelty discussed other than syllabus?
- 3. How will you rate the course on the basis of presenting tools and communicating quality?
- 4. How would you rate the course on the scale 1 4 on the basis of variety of examples and concept building quality?
- 5. How would you overall rate the course on the scale 1 4?

#### Remarks:

All the students who have filled this feedback form are satisfied with my teaching skills. They do not have any suggestions.

Sign of Staff

Sign of HOD

Sign of Principal

PRINCIPAL
SNJB'S SHRIMAN SURESHDADA JAIN
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY, NEMINAGAR
CHANDWAD (NASHIK)



